**HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR**

---

**Single Bench : Hon'ble Shri H.L. Dattu, CJ.**

---

**Contempt Petition No. 99 of 2007**

**APPLICANT**

Rajesh Das, S/o Late Hari Das
Aged about 42 years
R/o Village – Baloda, Tahsil Janjgir, District Janjgir-Champa (C.G.)

Versus

**NON-APPLICANTS CONTEMNERS**

1. Shri Vivekanand Sinha
Superintendent of Police
Janjgir-Champa, District Janjgir-Champa (C.G.)
2. Shri M.R. Mandavi, Reserve Inspector, Police Line, Janjgir-Champa, District Janjgir-Champa (C.G.)

Contempt petition under Section 12 of the Contempt of Court Act, read with Article 215 of the Constitution of India.

---

Present : Shri Raghvendra Pradhan, counsel for the petitioner.
Shri V.V.S. Murthy, Dy. A.G. for the State.

---

**ORAL ORDER**

**(Passed on 13th April, 2007)**

The complainant alleging that the respondents have disobeyed the interim order passed by this Court on 14-03-2007, has filed this contempt petition with a request to initiate appropriate contempt proceedings against the respondents and punish them for so called wilful and deliberate disobedience of the order of this Court.

(2) Petitioner is a Constable who was working at Baloda. He was kept under suspension by an order passed on 03-10-2006. In contemplation of the Departmental Enquiry proceedings, after passing the suspension order he was attached to the Police Station at Janjgir-Champa.

(3) By order dated 15-01-2007, the non-applicant has recalled his earlier order of suspension of the petitioner, but directed him to continue to work at Janjgir-Champa alone as a Constable.

(4) This Court while entertaining the writ petition has directed the non-applicant that no coercive steps shall be taken pursuant to the order dated 15-01-2007.

(5) The complainant is of the view that the respondents have wilfully and deliberately disobeyed the interim order passed on 14-03-2007.

(6) A request is made to Mr. V.V.S. Murthy, learned Dy. Advocate General for the State to assist me in this case.

(7) On instructions the learned counsel appearing for the State has produced before me the communication dated 13-04-2007. A perusal of the said communication would positively indicate that the respondents have not taken any coercive steps against the petitioner after passing of the order dated 15-01-2007.

(8) I am satisfied with the explanation offered by the respondents. In that view of the matter, in my opinion the respondents have not committed any act which would call for initiation of contempt proceedings under the provisions of Contempt of Courts Act. In that view of the matter, the contempt petition is liable to be rejected and it is accordingly rejected. Ordered accordingly.

**Sd/-**
**Chief Justice**